INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH AND STUDIES www.ijcrs.org ISSN-0249-4655

Further Remarks on the Numerical Range of λ -Commuting Operators

Arthur Wafula & Jairus M. Khalagai School of Mathematics, University Of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

Abstract

Let B(H) denote the Banach Algebra of all Bounded operators on a Complex Hilbert Space H. We consider operators $A, B \in B(H)$ satisfying the property that $AB = \lambda BA$, $\lambda \in C$, $AB \neq 0$ and show that if A and B are self-ad joint then the numerical ranges of AB and BA are either real or pure imaginary and deduce related results.

Keywords: Numerical range, λ -Commuting Operators, normal and self- ad joint operators

INTRODUCTION

Let H be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and B(H) denote the Banach Algebra of bounded linear operators on H, then we say that A and B are λ -Commuting Operators if $AB = \lambda BA$, where λ is a complex number and $AB \neq$ 0. This type of operators have been studied by a number of Authors including [1], [2], [3] and [5].

Commutating operators have had extensive applications in quantum mechanical observation and analysis of the spectra. We show that when two operators A and B commute to a scale factor then the numerical range W(AB) of the product is real or pure imaginary. J.A Brook, P. Busch and D.B Pearson proved the following result using the spectral analysis approach.

Theorem A

Let *A*, $B \in B(H)$ be such that $AB = \lambda AB$, $AB \neq 0$ for a complex number λ . Then we have;

- (i) If A or B is self adjoint then λ is a real number.
- (ii) If both A and B are self adjoint then $\lambda \in \{1, -1\}$.
- (iii) If both A and B are self adjoint and one of them is positive then $\lambda = 1$.

They also showed that if A and B λ commute then they have the same spectrum. In this paper apart from investigating the numerical range properties for AB and BA we use the Putnam-Fugelede theorem to provide an alternative proof of the theorem.

NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

Given an operator A on a Hilbert space H we denote the numerical range, spectrum and kernel of A by the symbols W(A), $\sigma(A)$ and ker(A) respectively. Thus we have that:

 $W(A) = \{(Ax, x); ||x|| = 1\}$ $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda; A - \lambda I \text{ is not invertible } \}$ $ker(A) = \{x \in H; Ax = 0\}$

We say that λ is a normal eigenvalue of A if $\{x \in H; Ax = \lambda x\} = \{x \in H; A * x = \lambda^T x\}$ or equivalently if ker $(A - \lambda I) = ker(A * - \lambda^T I)$

For any two operators A and B the commutator of A and B is denoted by [A, B] = AB - BA. An operator A is said to be normal if $AA^* = A^*A$, self-ad joint if $A = A^*$, and anti-self-ad joint if $A = -A^*$.

We have the following inclusion; $\{Self-ad joint\} \subset \{Normal\}$ and $\{Anti - self-ad joint\} \subset \{Normal\}$

RESULTS

We first use the well-known Putnam Fueglede property to give an alternative proof of theorem A.

Lemma 1.1

Let A, B be bounded operators on a Hilbert space H such that $AB = \lambda BA$ and $AB \neq 0$ where λ is a complex number. Then:

If A or B is Self-ad joint we must have that λ is a real number If A and B are Self ad joint then $\lambda \in \{1, -1\}$ If A and B are self-ad joint and either A or B is positive then $\lambda = 1$.

Proof

(i) $AB = \lambda BA$ if and only if $B^*A^* = \lambda^- A^*B^*$. But if say $A = A^*$ then $B^*A = \lambda^- AB^*$. By Putnam Fueglede theorem $B^*A^* = \lambda A^*B^*$. Hence we have that $\lambda^- A^*B^* = \lambda A^*B^*$

Consequently we have that $(\lambda^- - \lambda)A^*B^* = 0$ Since $AB \neq 0$ we obtain the result $(\lambda^- - \lambda) = 0$. So $\lambda^- = \lambda$

(ii) If $A = A^*$, and $B = B^*$ then $AB = \lambda BA$ and so $(AB)^* = \lambda^- \lambda BA = |\lambda|^2 BA$.

Hence, $BA = \lambda \overline{\lambda}BA = |\lambda|^2 BA$. Consequently $(1 - |\lambda|^2)BA = 0$. So from (i) $\lambda = \pm 1$.

(iii) Let AB = -BA then Consider the commutator of A and B namely $AB - BA = AB + AB \neq 0$. However this leads to the anti-commutator being non zero. i.e. $AB + BA \neq 0$. This is a contradiction hence we must have that $\lambda = 1$.

Lemma 2.1

If an operator T is anti-self adjoint then W(T) is a pure imaginary.

Proof

Note that $\lambda \in W(T) \Leftrightarrow \lambda = (T x, x) = (x, T^* x) = -(x, T x) = -\lambda^-$. Thus $\lambda + \lambda^- = 0$ and hence λ is a pure imaginary number.

Theorem 2.2

Let $AB = \lambda BA$, $AB \neq 0$ and B be a normal operator. If A is anti-self-ad joint then λ is a real number.

Proof

If $AB = \lambda BA$ then we take the ad joint on both sides to find $B^*A^* = \lambda^- A^*B^*$. Hence applying the Putnam-Fueglede theorem we have that

 $B^*A = \lambda A B^* \Leftrightarrow -B^*A^* = -\lambda A^*B^* \Leftrightarrow (AB)^* = \lambda A^*B^* \Leftrightarrow (\lambda BA)^* = \lambda A^*B^* \Leftrightarrow \lambda A^*B^* \Leftrightarrow (\lambda^- - \lambda)A^*B^* = 0. \text{ Hence } \lambda^- = \lambda^- =$

Corollary 2.3

If A and B are anti-self-ad joint operator such that $AB = \lambda BA$ and $AB \neq 0$ then $\lambda \in \{1, -1\}$

Proof

Since A is normal we have that λ is a real number. Furthermore from AB = λ BA we obtain $B^*A^* = \lambda A^*B^* \iff BA = \lambda AB = \lambda^2 BA \iff (1 - (\lambda)^2)BA = 0$. Since $AB \neq 0$ we obtain $\lambda = \pm 1$

Theorem 2.4

Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be such that $AB = \lambda BA$, $\lambda \in C$, $AB \neq 0$ where A and B are self-ad joint. Then W(AB) and W(BA) are either real or pure imaginary.

Proof

Let $\mu \in W(AB)$. Then $\mu = (ABx, x) = (Bx, Ax)$. But since $W(AB) = W(\lambda BA) = \lambda W(BA)$ we must have that $\lambda(BAx, x) = \lambda(Ax, Bx) = \lambda^{-}(Bx, Ax) = \lambda\mu^{-} = \mu$ Now for A and B self-ad joint $\lambda = \pm 1$ so that $\mu = \pm \mu^{-}$

Corollary 2.5

Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be such that $AB = \lambda BA, \lambda \in C, AB \neq 0$, where A and B are self-ad joint. If μ is an eigen - value of AB then μ is either real or pure imaginary.

Proof

If μ is an eigenvalue of AB then $\mu \in W(AB)$. By the theorem above $\mu = \lambda \mu^{-1}$ But since A and B are self-ad joint $\lambda = \pm 1$. So that $\mu = \pm \mu^{-1}$. Hence μ is either real or pure imaginary.

Corollary 2.6

Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be such that $AB = \lambda BA, \lambda \in C, AB \neq 0$ where A and B are Self-ad joint. Then every eigenvalue μ of AB or BA is normal.

Proof

Since A and B are self-ad joint we have that either $\lambda = \pm 1$. Hence AB is either self-ad joint or anti self-ad joint. In both cases AB is normal. Hence μ is a normal eigenvalue.

Corollary 2.7

The eigen-spaces of the eigenvalues of AB or BA reduce AB or BA respectively where AB are seladjoint λ - commuting operators.

Theorem 2.8

Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be such that $AB = \lambda BA$, $AB \neq 0$ where A and B are anti-self –ad joint operators. Then W(AB) and W(BA) are either pure imaginary or real.

Proof

Let $\mu \in W(AB)$. Then we have that

 $\mu = (ABx, x) = (Bx, A^*x) = -(Bx, Ax).$

Also we have that $\mu = \lambda(BAx, x) = -\lambda(Ax, Bx) = -\lambda(Bx, Ax)$

Therefore $-(Bx, Ax) = -\lambda^{-}(Bx, Ax)$ i.e. $\mu = \lambda \mu^{-}$. When A and B are anti-self-ad joint by corollary 1 we must have $\lambda = \pm 1$. Thus $\mu = \pm \mu^{-}$

References

- 1. Brook James, Paul Busch and David Pearson, Commutativity up to a scalar factor of Bounded Operators in Complex Hilbert Space
- 2. C.C.Cowen, Commutants and the operator equation $AX = \lambda XA$, Pacific journal of Mathematics(1979) vol. 340.
- 3. J. M. Khalagai and M. Kavila, On λ commuting operators, Kenya journal of Science and Technology-.
- 4. Carlos S Kubrusly, Hilbert Space Operators ; A problem solving approach ,(2008)p84
- Wulf Rehder ,On the Product Of Self-ad joint Operators ,International journal of mathematics and science vol 5 no4 (1982)813-816